arXiv:1702.06734v1 [math.RA] 22 Feb 2017

Weakly r-clean rings and weakly $\star$-clean rings

Ajay Sharma
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University, Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Assam, India.
Email: ajay123@tezu.ernet.in

Dhiren Kumar Basnet
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University, Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Assam, India.
Email: dbasnet@tezu.ernet.in

Abstract: Motivated by the concept of weakly clean rings, we introduce the concept of weakly r-clean rings. We define an element x of a ring R as weakly r-clean if it can be expressed as $x = r + e$ or $x = r - e$ where e is an idempotent and r is a regular element of R. If all the elements of R are weakly r-clean then R is called a weakly r-clean ring. We discuss some of its properties in this article. Also we generalise this concept of weakly r-clean ring to weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring, where $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ and $C(R)$ is the centre of the ring R. Finally we introduce the concept of weakly $\star$-clean and $\star$-r-clean ring and discuss some of their properties.

Key words: r-clean ring, Weakly r-clean ring, $\star$-clean ring, Weakly $\star$-clean ring, $\star$-r-clean ring.

2010 ***Mathematics Subject Classification:*** 16N40, 16U99.

1 INTRODUCTION

Here rings R are associative with unity unless otherwise indicated. The Jacobson radical, set of units, set of idempotents, set of regular elements, set of nilpotent elements and centre of a ring R are denoted by $J(R)$, $U(R)$, $Idem(R)$, $Reg(R)$, $Nil(R)$ and $C(R)$ respectively. Nicholson[8] called an element x of R, a clean element, if $x = e + u$ for some $e \in Idem(R)$, $u \in U(R)$ and called the ring R as clean ring if all its elements are clean. Weakening the condition of clean element, M.S. Ahn and D.D. Anderson[1] defined an element x as weakly clean if x can be expressed as $x = u + e$ or $x = u - e$, where $u \in U(R)$, $e \in Idem(R)$. Generalising

the idea of clean element, N. Ashrafi and E. Nasibi [2, 3] introduced the concept of r-clean element as follows: an element x of a ring R is said to be r-clean if it can be written as a sum of an idempotent and a regular element. Further if all the elements of R are r-clean then the ring R is called r-clean ring. Motivated by these ideas we define an element of a ring R as weakly r-clean if x can be expressed as $x = r + e$ or $x = r - e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$, $e \in Idem(R)$ and call the ring R to be weakly r-clean if all its elements are so. We show that in case of an abelian ring the concept of weakly r-clean rings coincides with that of weakly clean rings and hence with that of weakly exchange rings. We also show that the center of weakly r-clean rings are not so. However if R has no non-trivial idempotents then the center of a weakly r-clean ring is weakly r-clean. Further we discuss some interesting properties of weakly $g(x)$-r- clean ring, where $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. Finally we define the concept of weakly $\star$-clean ring and $\star$-r-clean ring. A ring R is a $\star$-ring (or ring with involution) if there exists an operation $\star : R \to R$ such that for all $x, y \in R$, $(x + y)^\star = x^\star + y^\star$, $(xy)^\star = y^\star x^\star$ and $(x^\star)^\star = x$. An element p of a $\star$-ring is a projection if $p^2 = p = p^\star$. Obviously, 0 and 1 are projections of any $\star$-ring. Henceforth $P(R)$ will denote the set of all projections in a $\star$-ring. We define an element x in a $\star$-ring R is weakly $\star$-clean element if $x = u + p$ or $x = u - p$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $p \in P(R)$. If all the elements of R are weakly $\star$-clean then the $\star$-ring R is called weakly $\star$-clean. We show that for an abelian $\star$-clean ring R, if every idempotent of the form $e = ry$ or $e = yr$ is projection, where $r \in Reg(R)$, then R is $\star$-r-clean if and only if R is $\star$-clean.

2 Weakly r-clean ring

Definition 2.1. An element x in a ring R is called weakly r-clean if it can be written as $x = r + e$ or $x = r - e$, for some $r \in Reg(R)$ and $e \in Idem(R)$. If all the elements of R are weakly r-clean, then the ring R is called a weakly r-clean ring.

For example all clean rings, weakly clean rings and r-clean rings are weakly r-clean ring. The following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 2.2. *Homomorphic image of weakly r-clean ring is weakly r-clean.*

However the converse is not true as $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle 6\rangle$ is a weakly r-clean ring, but $\mathbb{Z}$ is not weakly r-clean ring.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{R_\alpha\}$ be a collection of rings. Then the direct product $R = \prod_\alpha R_\alpha$ is weakly r-clean if and only if each R_α is weakly r-clean ring and at most one R_α is not r-clean.*

Proof. Let R be weakly r-clean ring. Then being homomorphic image of R each R_α is weakly r-clean. Suppose R_{α_1} and R_{α_2} are not r-clean, where $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. Since R_{α_1} is not r-clean so not all elements $x \in R_{\alpha_1}$ of the form $x = r - e$ where $r \in Reg(R_{\alpha_1})$ and $e \in Idem(R_{\alpha_1})$. As R_{α_1} is weakly r-clean so there exists $x_{\alpha_1} \in R_{\alpha_1}$ with $x_{\alpha_1} = r_{\alpha_1} + e_{\alpha_1}$, where $r_{\alpha_1} \in Reg(R_{\alpha_1})$ and $e_{\alpha_1} \in Idem(R_{\alpha_1})$ but $x_{\alpha_1} \neq r - e$ for any $r \in Reg(R_{\alpha_1})$ and $e \in Idem(R_{\alpha_1})$. Similarly there exists $x_{\alpha_2} \in R_{\alpha_2}$ with $x_{\alpha_2} = r_{\alpha_2} - e_{\alpha_2}$, where $r_{\alpha_2} \in Reg(R_{\alpha_2})$ and $e_{\alpha_2} \in Idem(R_{\alpha_2})$, but $x_{\alpha_2} \neq r + e$ for any $r \in Reg(R_{\alpha_2})$ and $e \in Idem(R_{\alpha_2})$. Define $x = (x_\alpha) \in R$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha &= x_\alpha \qquad if\ \alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \\ &= 0 \qquad if\ \alpha \notin \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly $x \neq r \pm e$ for any $r \in Reg(R)$ and $e \in Idem(R)$. Hence at most one R_α is not r-clean.
($\Leftarrow$) If each R_α is r-clean then $R = \prod R_\alpha$ is r-clean and hence weakly r-clean. Assume R_{α_0} is weakly r-clean but not r-clean and that all other R_α's are r-clean. If $x = (x_\alpha) \in R$ then in R_{α_0} we can write $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} + e_{\alpha_0}$ or $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} - e_{\alpha_0}$, where $r_{\alpha_0} \in Reg(R_{\alpha_0})$ and $e_{\alpha_0} \in Idem(R_{\alpha_0})$. If $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} + e_{\alpha_0}$ then for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ let, $x_\alpha = r_\alpha + e_\alpha$ and if $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} - e_{\alpha_0}$ then for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ let, $x_\alpha = r_\alpha - e_\alpha$ then $r = (r_\alpha) \in Reg(R)$ and $e = (e_\alpha) \in Idem(R)$ such that $x = r + e$ or $x = r - e$ and consequently R is weakly r-clean ring. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be a ring with no zero divisor. Then R is weakly clean if and only if R is weakly r-clean.*

Proof. Let $r(\neq 0) \in Reg(R)$, then $r = ryr$ for some $y \in R$, *i.e.*, $r(1 - yr) = 0$, which implies that r is a unit. Now the result follows immediately. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let R be a ring and $e^2 = e \in R$, $a = u - f \in eRe$, where $u \in U(eRe)$, $f \in Idem(eRe)$. Then there exist elements $v \in U(R)$ and $\overline{e} \in Idem(R)$ such that $a = v - \overline{e}$.*

Proof. Since $u \in U(eRe)$, so there exists $w \in U(eRe)$ such that $uw = e = wu$. Assume $v = u + (1 - e)$, then $v(w + (1 - e)) = 1 = (w + (1 - e))v$, because $v(1 - e) = 0 = (1 - e)w$. Clearly $a - v = a - u - (1 - e) = -(f + (1 - e))$. It is easy to see that $\overline{e} = f + (1 - e)$ is idempotent and hence the result follows. □

For the sake of completeness of the article we prove a result on weakly clean element.

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be an abelian ring and $a \in R$ is weakly clean element then ae is weakly clean for any idempotent $e \in R$.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be a weakly clean element. So $a = u + e'$ or $a = u - e'$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $e' \in Idem(R)$
Case 1: If $a = u + e'$, then ae is clean for any idempotent e by Lemma 2.1 [2].
Case 2: If $a = u - e'$, then $ae = ue - e'e$. But $ue = ue^2 = eue \in U(eRe)$ and $e'e \in Idem(eRe)$, as R is abelian. So by Lemma 2.5, $ae = v - \overline{e}$, for some $v \in U(R)$ and $\overline{e} \in Idem(R)$. Hence ae is weakly clean. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be an abelian ring such that a and $-a$ both are clean. Then the followings hold:*

(i) *$a + e$ is clean for any idempotent e of R.*

(ii) *$a - e$ is weakly clean for any idempotent e of R.*

Proof. (i) It follows from Lemma 2.1 [2] .
(ii) Consider $a = u + e'$ and $-a = u' + e''$ where, $u, u' \in U(R)$ and $e, e' \in Idem(R)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} a - e &= (ae + a(1-e)) - e \\ &= (a-1)e + a(1-e) \\ &= -(1-a)e + a(1-e) \\ &= -(1 - e' - u)e + (-u' - e'')(1-e) \\ &= -\{(1-e')e + e''(1-e)\} + \{ue - u'(1-e)\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{(1-e')e + e''(1-e)\} \in Idem(R)$ and $\{ue - u'(1-e)\} \in U(R)$, so $a - e$ is weakly clean. □

Here we define a weakly r-clean (respectively weakly clean) element $x \in R$ is of type 1 form if $x = r + e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ (respectively $r \in U(R)$) and $e \in Idem(R)$ and of type 2 form if $x = r - e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ (respectively $r \in U(R)$) and $e \in Idem(R)$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be an abelian ring. Then R is weakly clean if and only if R is weakly r-clean.*

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Obviously weakly clean rings are weakly r-clean.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Let R be weakly r-clean ring and $x \in R$. So $x = r \pm e'$, where $r = ryr$ for some $y \in R$ and $e' \in Idem(R)$.
First let $x = r + e'$. Assume $yr = e$, then clearly e is an idempotent and therefore $(ye + (1-e))(re + (1-e)) = 1$. Let $u = re + (1-e)$, then $u \in U(R)$ and $ue = r$, so $ue + f \in U(R)$, where $(1-e) = f$. Thus $-r = -(ue + f) + f$ is clean. Hence by lemma 2.7, $r + e'$ is clean.
If $x = r - e'$, then clearly r and $-r$ both are clean and so by lemma 2.7, $r - e'$ is weakly clean. Hence R is weakly clean. □

Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(6)}$ of all rational numbers $\frac{a}{b}$ of lowest form, where b is relatively prime to 6. Since $\frac{21}{11}+1=\frac{32}{11}$ and $\frac{21}{11}-1=\frac{10}{11}$ both are not units and 0, 1 are the only idempotents of $\mathbb{Z}_{(6)}$ so $\mathbb{Z}_{(6)}$ is not weakly clean and hence not weakly r-clean. The following is an example of weakly r-clean but not r-clean ring.

Example 2.9. $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ is weakly r-clean but not r-clean.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ is weakly clean but not clean [1] and if R is an abelian ring then R is clean if and only if R is r-clean by Theorem 2.2 [2]. So by Theorem 2.8, $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ is weakly r-clean but not r-clean.

Definition 2.10. A ring R is called weakly exchange ring if for any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in xR$ such that $1-e \in (1-x)R$ or $1-e \in (1+x)R$.

The relation between weakly r-clean ring and weakly exchange ring is given below.

Theorem 2.11. *If R is abelian ring then R is weakly r-clean ring if and only if R is weakly exchange ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) It is equivalent to show R is weakly clean ring *if and only if* R is weakly exchange ring.
Let $x \in R$, if $x = u + e$ where $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$ then clearly it satisfies the exchange property [8].
Suppose, $x = u - e$ where $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in Idem(R)$. Let $f = u^{-1}(1-e)u$ then $f^2 = f$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} u(x+f) &= u(u-e+u^{-1}(1-e)u) \\ &= (u-e)^2 + (u-e) \\ &= x^2 + x \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore\ x + f \in R(x^2+x)$. So x satisfies weakly exchange property by Lemma 2.1 [5]. Hence R is weakly exchange ring. ($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $x \in R$ then there exists an idempotent $e \in Rx$ such that $1-e \in R(1-x)$ or $1-e \in R(1+x)$.
Case 1: Suppose $1-e \in R(1+x)$ and $e = ax$, $1-e = b(1+x)$, for some $a, b \in R$. Assume that $ea = a$ and $(1-e)b = b$ so that $axa = ea = a$ and $b(1+x)b = b$. Here ax, xa, $b(1+x)$, $(1+x)b$ all are central idempotent and $ax = (ax)(ax) = (ax)(xa) = x(ax)a = xa$ similarly $(1+x)b = b(1+x)$. Now $(a+b)(x+(1-e)) = ax+bx+a(1-e)+b(1-e) = 1$ so $x+(1-e)$ is unit. Hence x is weakly clean element.
Case 2: If $1-e \in R(1-x)$ then clearly x is weakly clean by Proposition 1.8 [8]. □

A polynomial ring over a commutative ring R can never be weakly r-clean as x can not be represented in weakly clean decomposition. N. Ashrafi and E. Nasibi [2], showed that R is r-clean *if and only if* $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is r-clean, where $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is the ring of skew formal power series over R *i.e.* all formal power series in x with coefficients from R and α is an ring endomorphism. Multiplication is defined by $xr = \alpha(r)x$, for all $r \in R$. In particular $R[[x]] = R[[x; I_R]]$ is the ring of formal power series over R.

Proposition 2.12. *Let R be an abelian ring and α be an endomorphism of R. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *R is weakly r-clean ring.*

(ii) *The formal power series ring $R[[x]]$ over R is weakly r-clean.*

(iii) *The skew power series ring $R[[x;\alpha]]$ over R is weakly r-clean.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.8, and similar results of weakly clean rings. □

Consider a ring $R[D, C]$ constructed in [10], for any subring C of a ring D. The following result gives the relation between $R[D, C]$ with D and C, about weakly r-clean ness.

Proposition 2.13. *Let C be a subring of a ring D then $R[D, C]$ is weakly r-clean ring if and only if D is r-clean and C is weakly r-clean ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) As $R[D, C] = D \otimes D$ so by Theorem 2.3 D is r-clean. Clearly C is weakly r-clean ring by Theorem 2.2.
($\Leftarrow$) Let D be r-clean and C is weakly r-clean ring. Let $x = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, c, c, c, \cdots) \in R[D, C]$. Since C is weakly r-clean ring, so $c = r \pm e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $e \in Idem(R)$. If $c = r + e$, write $a_i = r_i + e_i$ then $x = \overline{r} + \overline{e}$, where $\overline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, r, r, \cdots) \in Reg(R[D, C])$ and $\overline{e} = (e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n, e, e, \cdots) \in Idem(R[D, C])$. If $c = r - e$, write $a_i = r_i - e_i$ then $x = \overline{r} - \overline{e}$, where $\overline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n, r, r, \cdots) \in Reg(R[D, C])$ and $\overline{e} = (e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n, e, e, \cdots) \in Idem(R[D, C])$. □

Clearly every abelian semi regular ring is weakly clean and hence weakly r-clean. However the converse is not true as shown by the following example.

Example 2.14. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be a field of rational numbers and L the ring of all rational numbers with odd denominators. Then by example 2.7 [2] and Theorem 2.8, $R[\mathbb{Q}, L]$ is commutative exchange ring and hence $R[\mathbb{Q}, L]$ is weakly r-clean ring but not semi regular.

If R is weakly r-clean ring then R/I is weakly r-clean being homomorphic image of weakly r-clean ring. The theorem is a partial converse of this statement.

Theorem 2.15. *Let I be a regular ideal of a ring R, where idempotents can be lifted modulo I. Then R is weakly r-clean if and only if R/I is weakly r-clean.*

Proof. Following theorem 2.8 [2], it is enough to show that for any $a \in R$ if $a + I$ has type 2 weakly r-clean decomposition in R/I then a is weakly r-clean in R. Let $a + I \in R/I$ has type 2 weakly r-clean decompositions in R/I then there exists idempotent $e + I \in R/I$ such that $(a + I) + (e + I) \in Reg(R/I)$ *i.e.* $(a + e) + I \in Reg(R/I)$. Therefore $((a + e) + I)(x + I)((a + e) + I) = (a + e) + I$, for some $x \in R$, so $(a+e)x(a+e)-(a+e) \in I$ but I is regular ideal, Hence $(a+e)$ is regular by Lemma 1, [4] . Since idempotents can be lifted modulo I, we may assume that e is an idempotent of R. □

Proposition 2.16. *Let M be a $A - B$ bi-module. If $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ M & B \end{pmatrix}$, a formal triangular matrix ring is weakly r-clean then A and B are weakly r-clean ring.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ and $m \in M$, consider $t = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ m & b \end{pmatrix} \in T$.
Case I: If t is r-clean then clearly a and b both are r-clean in their respective rings A and B by Theorem 16, [3] .
Case II: If $t = -\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ r_2 & r_3 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 \\ f_2 & f_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ r_2 & r_3 \end{pmatrix} \in Reg(T)$. It is simple calculation to show that f_1 and f_3 are idempotents in A and B respectively and r_1 and r_3 are regular elements in A and B respectively. Finally $a = -f_1 + r_1$ and $b = -f_3 + r_3$. Hence A and B both are weakly r-clean rings. □

In [10], T. Kosan, S. Sahinkaya and Y. Zhou showed that the center of weakly clean rings need not be weakly clean. In that example $End(_RE(R))$ is weakly clean whereas the center is not weakly clean as R is not weakly clean. But since R is abelian in that example so by Theorem 2.8, the center of $End(_RE(R))$ is not weakly r-clean. Hence the center of weakly r-clean ring need not be weakly r-clean.

Theorem 2.17. *Let R be a weakly r-clean ring with no nontrivial idempotents. Then the center of R is also a weakly r-clean ring.*

Proof. Let R be weakly r-clean ring and $Z(R)$ be the center of R. Let $x \in Z(R)$ then there exists regular element $r \in R$ such that either $x = r$ or $x = r - 1$ or $x = r + 1$. If $x = r$ then clearly x is weakly r-clean in $Z(R)$. If $x = r \pm 1$ then $r \in Z(R)$ as $x \pm 1 \in Z(R)$. Hence $Z(R)$ is weakly r-clean ring. □

A ring R is called graded ring(or more precisely, $\mathbb{Z}$-graded) if there exists a family of subgroups $\{R_n\}_{n\in Z}$ of R such that $R = \underset{n}{\oplus} R_n$ (as abelian groups) and $R_nR_m \subseteq R_{n+m}$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The relation of graded ring and weakly r-clean ring is given below.

Proposition 2.18. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots$ be a graded ring then the following hold:*

(i) *If R is weakly r-clean ring then R_0 is weakly r-clean ring.*

(ii) *If R_0 is weakly r-clean ring and each R_n is a torsion-less R_0 module, i.e. $r \notin Z(R_0) \Rightarrow r \notin Z(R_n)$, then R is weakly r-clean ring.*

Proof. (i) Let $r_0 \in R_0$, so $r_0 = r + e$ or $r_0 = r - e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $e \in Idem(R)$. Since $Idem(R) = Idem(R_0)$, so $e \in R_0$ and $r \in R_0 \cap Reg(R) \subseteq Reg(R_0)$. Hence R_0 is weakly r-clean ring.

(ii) Let $x = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \in R$, where $x_i \in R_i$. Write $x_0 = r_0 \pm e_0$, where $r_0 \in Reg(R_0)$ and $e_0 \in Idem(R_0) = Idem(R)$. Put $x' = r_0 + x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$, so $x = x' \pm e_0$ where $e_0 \in Idem(R_)$. If $x' \in Z(R)$ then there exists a non zero homogeneous $t \in R_n$ with $tx' = 0$. But then $r_0 t = 0$, a contradiction. □

3 Weakly $g(x)$-r-clean rings

Definition 3.1. Let $g(x)$ be a fixed polynomial in $C(R)[x]$. An element $x \in R$ is called weakly $g(x)$-r-clean if $x = r \pm e$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $g(e) = 0$. We say that R is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean if every element is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean.

If $g(x) = x^2 - x$, then weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring is similar to weakly r-clean ring. An element s of R is called root of the polynomial $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ if $g(s) = 0$. For $g(x) = x^2 - x$, the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring but not $g(x)$-r-clean ring.

Let R and S be rings and $\theta : C(R) \to C(S)$ be a ring homomorphism with $\theta(1) = 1$. Then θ induces a map θ' from $C(R)[x]$ to $C(S)[x]$ such that for $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$, $\theta'(g(x)) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta(a_i) x^i \in C(S)[x]$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\theta : R \to S$ be a ring epimorphism. If R is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring then S is weakly $\theta'(g(x))$-r-clean ring.*

Proof. Let $g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n \in C(R)[x]$, then $\theta'(g(x)) = \theta(a_0) + \theta(a_1)x + \cdots + \theta(a_n)x^n \in C(S)[x]$. Since θ is ring epimorphism so for any $s \in S$, there exists

$x \in R$ such that $\theta(x) = s$. Let $x = r \pm s_0$ where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $g(s_0) = 0$, as R is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring. Now $s = \theta(x) = \theta(r \pm s_0) = \theta(r) \pm \theta(s_0)$. Clearly $\theta(r) \in Reg(S)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta'(g(\theta(s_0))) &= \theta(a_0) + \theta(a_1)\theta(s_0) + \cdots + \theta(a_n)(\theta(s_0))^n \\ &= \theta(a_0 + a_1 s_0 + \cdots + a_n s_0^n) \\ &= \theta(0) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence S is $\theta'(g(x))$-r-clean ring. □

Next we extend the Theorem 2.3 to $g(x)$-r-clean ring.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\{R_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of rings. Then $\prod_{i\in I} R_i$ is $g(x)$-r-clean ring if and only if R_i's are weakly $g(x)$-r-clean ring and at most one R_α is not $g(x)$-r-clean ring.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Similar to Theorem 2.3.
($\Leftarrow$) If each R_α is $g(x)$-r-clean ring, then $R = \prod R_\alpha$ is $g(x)$-r-clean. Assume R_{α_0} is weakly $g(x)$-r-clean but not $g(x)$-r-clean and that all other R_α's are $g(x)$-r-clean. Let $x = x_\alpha \in R$ so in R_{α_0} we can write $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} + s_{\alpha_0}$ or $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} - s_{\alpha_0}$, where $r_{\alpha_0} \in Reg(R_{\alpha_0})$ and $g(s_{\alpha_0}) = 0$ in R_{α_0} . If $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} + s_{\alpha_0}$ then for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ assume, $x_\alpha = r_\alpha + s_\alpha$ and if $x_{\alpha_0} = r_{\alpha_0} - s_{\alpha_0}$ then for $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ assume, $x_\alpha = r_\alpha - s_\alpha$, where $r_\alpha \in R_\alpha$ and $g(s_\alpha) = 0$ in R_α. Then $r = (r_\alpha) \in Reg(R)$ and $g(s = \{s_\alpha\}) = a_0\{1_{R_i}\} + a_1\{s_i\} + \cdots + a_n\{s_i^n\} = \{a_0 + a_1 s_i + \cdots + a_n s_i^n\}$=0. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a ring and $a, b \in R$ then R is weakly $(ax^{2n} - bx)$-r-clean ring if and only if R is weakly $(ax^{2n} + bx)$-r-clean ring, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose R is weakly $(ax^{2n} - bx)$-r-clean ring. Since $(as^{2n} - bs) = 0 \Rightarrow (a(-s)^{2n} + b(-s)) = 0$. So for $a \in R$, $-a = r \pm s$, where $(as^{2n} - bs) = 0$ and $r \in R$. Hence $a = (-r) \pm (-s)$ and $(a(-s)^{2n} + b(-s)) = 0$. Similarly the converse is also true. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. If for every $a \in R$, $a = r \pm t$, where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $t^{n-1} = 1$ then R is weakly $(x^n - x)$-r-clean ring.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.4. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $g(x) = (x^n - x) \in C(R)[x]$ and $g(e) = 0$. Let $a \in e^{n-1}Re^{n-1}$ be strongly $g(x)$-clean in $e^{n-1}Re^{n-1}$ then a is strongly $g(x)$-clean in R.*

Proof. Let $a = u + f$, where $u \in U(e^{n-1}Re^{n-1})$ and $g(f) = 0$ in $e^{n-1}Re^{n-1}$. Then $uw = e^{n-1} = wu$, for some $w \in U(e^{n-1}Re^{n-1})$. Consider $v = u - (1 - e^{n-1})$, then $\{u - (1 - e^{n-1})\}\{w - (1 - e^{n-1})\} = 1$, so $v = u - (1 - e^{n-1})$ is a unit in R. Now $a - v = f + (1 - e^{n-1})$ and $\{f + (1 - e^{n-1})\}^n = f^n + (1 - e^{n-1})^n = f + (1 - e^{n-1})$, so a is $g(x)$-clean ring in R. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let R be an abelian ring and $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ then if a is $g(x)$-clean element in R then ae^{n-1} is also $g(x)$-clean element in R for any root e of $g(x)$.*

Proof. Let $a = u + f$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $g(f) = 0$ in R. Suppose e be any root of $g(x)$ in R. Then $ae^{n-1} = ue^{n-1} + fe^{n-1}$ but $ue^{n-1} \in U(e^{n-1}Re^{n-1})$ and $(fe^{n-1})^n = f^n e^{n-1} = fe^{n-1}$. So ae^{n-1} is $g(x)$-clean in R. □

4 Weakly $\star$-clean rings and $\star$-r-clean ring

A ring R is a $\star$-ring (or ring with involution) if there exists an operation $\star : R \to R$ such that for all $x, y \in R$, $(x + y)^\star = x^\star + y^\star$, $(xy)^\star = y^\star x^\star$ and $(x^\star)^\star = x$. An element p of a $\star$-ring is a projection if $p^2 = p = p^\star$. Obviously, 0 and 1 are projections of any $\star$-ring. Henceforth $P(R)$ will denote the set of all projections in a $\star$-ring.

Here we define the concept of weakly $\star$-clean ring and discuss some properties of weakly $\star$-clean ring.

Definition 4.1. An element x of a $\star$-ring R is said to be weakly $\star$-clean if $x = u+p$ or $x = u - p$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $p \in P(R)$. A $\star$-ring R is said to be weakly $\star$-clean ring if every elements are weakly $\star$-clean.

Example 4.2. (i) Units, elements in $J(R)$ and nilpotents of a $\star$-ring R are weakly $\star$-clean.

(ii) Idempotents of a $\star$-regular rings are weakly $\star$-clean.

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a boolean $\star$-ring. Then R is weakly $\star$-clean if and only if $\star = 1_R$ is the identity map of R.*

Proof. It is clear that boolean rings are clean. Suppose that R is weakly $\star$-clean. Given any $a \in R$, we have $-a = u \pm p = \pm p + 1 = \pm p - 1$, for some $p \in P(R)$. So we have $a = 1 \pm p \in P(R)$. Hence $a^\star = a$, which implies $\star = 1_R$. Conversely if $\star = 1_R$ then every idempotent of R is a projection. Thus, R is a weakly $\star$-clean. □

Example 4.4. $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ with involution $\star$ defined by $(a, b)^\star = (b, a)$ is weakly clean but not weakly $\star$-clean.

Lemma 4.5. *Let R be a $\star$-ring. If $2 \in U(R)$, then for any $u^2 = 1$, $u^\star = u \in R$ if and only if every idempotent of R is a projection.*

Proof. Proof is given in Lemma 2.3 [11]. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be a $\star$-ring with $2 \in U(R)$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *R is weakly clean and every unit of R is self-adjoint.(i.e., $u^\star = u$ for every unit u).*

(ii) *R is weakly $\star$-clean and $\star = 1_R$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $a \in R$. Then $a = u \pm p$, for some $p \in P(R)$ and $u \in U(R)$. Note that $(1-2p) \in U(R)$, so $(1-2p)^\star = (1-2p) \Rightarrow p^\star = p$ by Lemma 4.5. Also $a^\star = (u \pm p)^\star = u^\star \pm p^\star = u \pm p = a$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). is trivial. □

For a $\star$-ring R, an element $x \in R$ is called *self adjoint square root of 1* if $x^2 = 1$ and $x^\star = x$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a $\star$-ring, the following are equivalent:*
(1) R is weakly $\star$-clean and $2 \in U(R)$.
(2) Every element of R is a sum of unit and a self adjoint square root of 1 or an element of the form $2p+1$, where $p^2 = p = p^\star$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Consider $a \in R$, then $\frac{a-1}{2} = u \pm p$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $p \in P(R)$. If $\frac{a-1}{2} = u - p$, then $a = 2u + (1-2p)$, where $2u \in U(R)$ and $1-2p$ is a self adjoint square root of 1. If $\frac{a-1}{2} = u + p$, then $a = 2u + (1+2p)$, where $p^2 = p = p^\star$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) First we show that $2 \in (R)$. By assumption, $1 = u+x$ or $1 = u+(2p+1)$, where $u \in U(R)$, x is self adjoint square root of 1 and $p \in P(R)$. If $1 = u + x$, then clearly $2 \in U(R)$ by Theorem 2.5 [11]. If $1 = u + (2p+1)$, then $u = -2p$, so $2 \in U(R)$. Next for showing R is weakly $\star$-clean ring, let $a \in R$, so $2a+1 = u+x$ or $2a+1 = u+(2p+1)$, where $u \in U(R)$, x is self adjoint square root of 1 and $p \in P(R)$.
Case I: If $2a+1 = u+x$, then $a = \frac{u}{2} - \frac{1-x}{2}$. Since $(\frac{1-x}{2})^2 = \frac{1-x}{2} = (\frac{1-x}{2})^\star$ and $2 \in (R)$, so a is weakly $\star$-clean element.
Case II: If $2a+1 = u+(2p+1)$, then $a = \frac{u}{2} + p$, a $\star$-clean element. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let R be weakly $\star$-clean ring. If I is a $\star$ invariant ideal of R, then R/I is weakly $\star$-clean. In particular, $R/J(R)$ is weakly $\star$-clean ring.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 2.7 [11]. □

Let R be a $\star$-ring. Then $\star$ induces an involution in the power series ring $R[[x]]$, defined by $(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i)^\star = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i^\star x^i$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let R be a $\star$-ring. Then $R[[x]]$ is weakly $\star$-clean if and only if R is weakly $\star$-clean.*

Proof. Let $R[[x]]$ be weakly $\star$-clean. Since $R \cong R[[x]]/ < x >$ and $< x >$ is $\star$-invariant ideal of $R[[x]]$. So R is weakly $\star$-clean ring. Conversely, suppose that R is weakly $\star$-clean ring. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in R[[x]]$. Write $a_0 = u \pm p$ with $p \in P(R)$ and $u \in U(R)$. Then $g(x) = \pm p + (u + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x^i)$, where $p \in P(R) \subseteq P(R[[x]])$ and $u + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in U(R[[x]])$. Hence $g(x)$ is weakly $\star$-clean in $R[[x]]$. □

Theorem 4.10. *Homomorphic image of weakly $\star$-clean ring is weakly $\star$-clean.*

Similarly we extend the Theorem 2.3 to $\star$-clean ring given below.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\{R_\alpha\}$ be a collection of $\star$-rings. Then the direct product $R = \prod_\alpha R_\alpha$ is weakly $\star$-clean if and only if each R_α is weakly $\star$-clean ring and at most one R_α is not $\star$-clean.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

Definition 4.12. An element x in a $\star$-ring R is said to be $\star$-r-clean if $x = r + p$ where $r \in Reg(R)$ and $p \in P(R)$. A $\star$-ring R is said to be $\star$-r-clean ring if every element of R is $\star$-r-clean.

Proposition 4.13. *Let R be a $\star$-ring and $e \in P(R)$. If $a \in eRe$ is strongly $\star$-clean in eRe then a is strongly $\star$-clean in R.*

Proof. Let $a = f + v$, where $f \in P(eRe)$ and $v \in U(eRe)$, so there exists $w \in U(eRe)$ such that $vw = e = wv$. Clearly $(v - (1 - e))(w - (1 - e)) = 1$ implies $v - (1 - e) \in U(R)$ and $a - (v - (1 - e)) = f + (1 - e) \in P(R)$. Hence a is strongly $\star$ clean in R. □

Proposition 4.14. *Let R be an abelian $\star$-ring. If a is $\star$-clean element in R then ae is $\star$-clean for any $e \in P(R)$.*

Proof. Let $a = u + p_1$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $p_1 \in P(R)$. Now $ae = ue + p_1 e$. Clearly $ue \in U(eRe)$ and $p_1 e \in P(eRe)$ imply ae is strongly $\star$-clean in eRe, so ae is strongly $\star$-clean in R. □

Proposition 4.15. *Let R be an abelian $\star$-ring and a be a $\star$-clean element in R and $e \in P(R)$. If $-a$ is $\star$-clean then $a + e$ is also $\star$-clean.*

Proof. Clearly a and $a+1$ are $\star$-clean, as a is $\star$-clean implies $1-a$ is so. Let $a = u + f$ and $1 + a = v + g$, where $f, g \in P(R)$ and $u, v \in U(R)$. $a + e = (1 + a)e + a(1 - e) = (ve + u(1 - e)) + (ge + f(1 - e))$. But $(ve + u(1 - e)) \in U(R)$ and $(ge + f(1 - e)) \in P(R)$. So $a + e$ is $\star$-clean. □

Lemma 4.16. *Let R be an abelian $\star$-ring where every idempotent of the form ry or yr is projection, for any regular element r, then r is $\star$-clean.*

Proof. Let $r \in Reg(R)$ then $r = ryr$, for some $y \in R$. So $f = yr \in P(R)$. Let $e = f + (1 - f)rf = (y + (1 - f)ry)r = ar$, where $a = y + (1 - f)ry$. Here $e^2 = e \in P(R)$ and $(1 - e) = (1 - f)(1 - r) = b(1 - r) \in R(1 - r)$, where $b = (1 - f)$. Assume $ea = a$ so that $ara = a$. Since idempotents are central so $ra = r(ar)a = ra(ra) = (ra)ra = a(ra)r = ar$. Similarly $(1 - r)b = b(1 - r)$, where it is assumed that $(1 - e)b = b$. By Proposition 1.8 [8], $a - b$ is the inverse of $r - (1 - e)$ and so r is $\star$-clean. □

Theorem 4.17. *Let R be an abelian $\star$-clean, where any idempotent of the form $e = ry$ or yr is projection, for any $r \in Reg(R)$. Then R is $\star$-r-clean if and only if R is $\star$-clean.*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) Obviously R is $\star$-clean $\Rightarrow$ R is $\star$-r-clean.

($\Rightarrow$) Let R be a $\star$-r-clean ring and $x \in R$, then $x = r + e'$, where $e' \in P(R)$ and $r \in Reg(R)$. Therefore $r = ryr$, for some $y \in R$. Taking $e = ry$ we see that $(re + (1 - e))(ye + (1 - e)) = 1$ and $e \in P(R)$. Hence $u = re + (1 - e)$ is a unit and $r = eu$. Set $f = 1 - e$ then $-(eu + f)$ is a unit and $f \in P(R)$. So, $-r = -(ue + f) + f$ is $\star$-clean. Also by Lemma 4.16, r is $\star$-clean. Hence by Proposition 4.15, $x = r + e'$ is $\star$-clean. □

References

[1] Ahn M-S. and Anddreson D.D., Weak clean rings and almost clean rings, *Rocky mount. Jorn. math.* 36, (2006) no 3, 783-798.

[2] Ashrafi N. and Nasibi E., Rings in which elements are the sum of idempotent and a regular element, *Buttet. Iranian. Math. Soc 39,* 2013 No. 3, 579 − 588.

[3] Ashrafi N. and Nasibi E., r-clean Rings, *Math. Report* 15(65), 2013, No. 2.

[4] Brown B. and McCoy N., The Maximal Regular ideal of a ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1,* (1950) 165-171.

[5] Danchev P. V., On Weakly Exchange Rings, *Univ. of Plovdiv,* 13, 2014.

[6] Diesl A. J., *Classes of Strongly Clean Rings*, Ph D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, (2006).

[7] Goodearl K.R., *Von Neuman Regular Rings*, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc. Malabar, 1991.

[8] Nicholson W.K., Lifting idempotents and exchange rings([1]). *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, (1977).

[9] Nicholson W. K. and Zhou Y., Rings in which elements are uniquely the sum of an idempotent and a unit, *Glasg. Math. J.*, 46, (2004), No. 2, $227 - 236$.

[10] Tamer Kosan, Serap Sahinkaya and Zhou Y. On weakly clean rings, *Comm. in Algebra*, 2015.

[11] Wang Z., Cui J., A Note on $\star$-Clean Rings. *Dept. of Math. Southern. Univ.*, (2015).